

Criteria for Aligning Policy and Funding with: Services, Linkages and Identification

1. Olmstead Principles (see attachment).
2. Availability of reasonable evidence showing outcomes for policy/funding recommendations.
3. Funding suggestions should be expected to be adequate to meet identified core needs and revenue sources should be potentially sustainable.
4. Funding sources should be examined from all known models across the country.
5. Whenever feasible policy recommendations should reach beyond brain injury specialties and support continuous and ongoing, multi-agency and multi-disciplinary, effort.
6. Policy and funding priorities should be phased across time periods including” immediate, intermediary and mid-term.
7. A recognition of, and support for, the unique training **and practice** requirements associated with effective and appropriate brain injury services.
8. The absolute need of availability of practiced professionals for case consultation as an adjunct to brain injury training to increase durability of outcomes.
9. A professional track (including training and practice) in brain injury service delivery in Iowa. (Checking with Georgetown on training outcomes).